

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office . Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,976	05/10/2001	Anna M. Zara	10007988	8110
7:	590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMANY			ZEENDER, FLORIAN M	
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/853,976	ZARA ET AL.	ZARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	F. Ryan Zeender	_   3627   <i>M</i>	41			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a repulsion of thirty divided by within the statutory minimum of thirty divided by will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	March 2004.					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 59-78 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 59-78 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
_						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examination</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the constant of the constant of the correct of the constant of the</li></ul>	ccepted or b) objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyand action is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)			

Application/Control Number: 09/853,976

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 59-61, 63-64 and 66-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, claims 59-61, 63-64 and 66-72 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely creating an asset record, creating a purchase order, storing the asset record and purchase order, transmitting the purchase order, receiving the component, and updating the asset record, do not necessarily apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the

Art Unit: 3627

mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute an idea of how to order and track products.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces a manner of ordering and tracking assets which meets the "useful, concrete, and tangible" criteria.

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is <u>not within the technological arts</u> as explained above, claims 59-61, 63-64 and 66-72 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 59-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeWolf et al. '626.

DeWolf et al. discloses, inherently teaches, or makes obvious all of the limitations of the claims including: creating an asset record (see for example paragraph 0035), creating a purchase order (see for example paragraph 0049), storing the asset record and purchase order information in the management database (i.e., GAIR), the stored purchase order is associated with the asset record (see for example paragraph 0048, line 9), transmitting the purchase order and receiving the component and component information (inherent, see for example paragraph 0035), updating the asset record (see for example paragraph 0034 and 0048), and wherein each of the steps are performed by a single entity (i.e., "the producer"; see for example paragraph 0034).

Application/Control Number: 09/853,976

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding dependent claims 60-72 and 74-78: the claimed limitations would have been obvious design choices to one of ordinary skill in the art; the limitations being well known in asset management to produce a desired result or outcome.

Page 4

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9326 for before-final responses.

2-1/23/04 Primary Examiner, A.U. 3627

April 23, 2004